

pivot of the Oneness of Mankind is nothing else but the power of the Covenant..." (GPB 238) "The power of the Covenant is as the heat of the sun which quickeneth and promoteth the development of all created things on earth." (GPB 239) After referring to the "invincible strength" (GPB 295) and "energizing power" (GPB 295) of the Covenant, Shoghi Effendi highlights two specific functions the Covenant is designed to discharge:

- "To direct and canalize" the forces released by two successive Manifestations in order to "ensure their harmonious and continuous operation." (GPB 237)
- To safeguard the "unity and integrity" of the Faith. (GPB 295)

Two misconceptions about the Covenant exist. These misconceptions are fomented by non-Bahá'í scholars who wish to weaken the loyalty of the friends towards the covenant. These need to be clarified. I will deal briefly with each one in the light of the texts of our Faith.

- The first is that as long as one believes in the divinity of Bahá'u'lláh, the Covenant is of secondary importance, and can be set aside as a non-essential part of the Bahá'í Revelation.
- The second is that the epoch of the Covenant was the ministry of 'Abdu'l-Bahá, and therefore after the passing of the Center of the Covenant this chapter in the history of the Faith is closed.

F i r s t M i s c o n c e p t i o n

In *God Passes By* Shoghi Effendi has explained that "the excellent and priceless heritage" (GP 314) referred to in the first sentence of the *Kitáb-i-'Ahd* is a reference to the Bahá'í Covenant. The full text of the sentence reads as follows: "Within the treasury of trust and resignation We have bequeathed to Our heirs an excellent and priceless heritage." (TB 219) Shoghi Effendi assures us that this heritage is the Covenant, and that therefore we are all in one sense Bahá'u'lláh's heirs. A moment's reflection will make it clear

Lights of 'Irfán Book Eight 301

that if we accept the source legacy to be a Divine Source, we cannot but accept the legacy itself. To do otherwise, would be inconceivable. When one rejects a legacy, does it not indicate a denial of one's love towards the Bequeather?

The "Epistle to the Son of the Wolf" was the last outstanding Tablet revealed by the Pen of Bahá'u'lláh. In this epistle, Bahá'u'lláh states that in His *Crimson Book* He has recorded a "word" which "is capable of fully disclosing that force which is hid in men, nay, of redoubling its potency. "

(ESW 32) In a letter written on his behalf by his secretary, Shoghi Effendi has pointed out that what Bahá'u'lláh meant by the “word” recorded in the Crimson Book was the power of the Covenant. The Crimson Book is a reference to the book of His Covenant, the passage above means that the power for unity which the “Covenant possesses and radiates.” (LG 181) The passage in the Kitáb-i-'Ahd confirming the potency of this power is as follows: “A mighty force, a consummate power lieth concealed in the world of being. Fix your gaze upon it and upon its unifying influence and not upon the differences which appear from it.” (TB 221) In these two brief sentences Bahá'u'lláh is in effect telling us that the power of the covenant is “concealed.” To me it means that one has to reflect on the importance of this theme to uncover and grasp its importance. Bahá'u'lláh is also telling us that the Covenant is like a double-edged sword: it leads to unity, and it causes differences. We must focus our minds and hearts on its unifying influence. The Covenant is our legacy from Bahá'u'lláh Himself, and, as 'Abdu'l-Bahá has stated, is the Pivot of the Oneness of Mankind. How is it possible for us to regard it as a matter of secondary importance?

Section M is concept i on

The second misconception has to do with the assumption that the Covenant is outdated and obsolete. We must remember that 'Abdu'l-Bahá, when commenting on His own ministry, has written that this period was only the “morning of the Covenant.” Thus if His Ministry was only the morning of the Covenant, we still have a whole blissful day ahead of us, till the end of the Dispensation. Two Tablets to this effect are

302

The Bahá'í Covenant

included in compilations of the Persian Tablets of the Master (Muntakhabáti az Makátib-i-'Abdu'l-Bahá, vol. 5, pp. 165, 213)

Thus we see that the Covenant was not only for the twenty-nine years of the Master's Ministry, but was bequeathed by Bahá'u'lláh to “posterity.” (GPB 239) It is in this light that we could understand Bahá'u'lláh's statement when He described His Dispensation as “a Day which shall not be followed by night.” (GPB 245)

Who is a Covenant -Breaker ?

We should now have a quick look at Covenant-breaking to see what our texts say on this subject, to understand what are the reasons and motives that lead people to break the Covenant, and why we must shun them. The law of Succession is one of the most important ordinances revealed in the Kitáb-i-Aqdas by Bahá'u'lláh. Bahá'u'lláh says that in connection with the

subject of endowments, the Supreme Authority in the Cause is the Manifestation of God. After Him and in accordance with His written covenant, specifically appointed “Aghsans” or Branches of the sacred Lote Tree will be the Centres of the Cause. After them, the focal point of the Covenant will be the Universal House of Justice. (KA 42)

In this same Most Holy Book and in the very first sentences, Bahá’u’lláh clearly stipulates that the acceptance of the manifestation and adherence to the laws revealed by Him are twin inseparable duties. One is not accepted without the other. (KA 1) Thus any person who denies and disregards the links in the chain of succession is regarded as a Covenant-breaker. The decision as to who is considered a Covenant-breaker rests always with the Authority or Central institution of the Cause which acts as Head of the Faith, at any given time. As stated earlier the Covenant leads to unity, as well as to differences. Bahá’u’lláh advised us to ignore the differences and to fix our gaze on the unifying power of the Covenant. The main body of the Faith of God, we should be well assured, will remain united, as has been designed by Almighty God to unify the world. The Hand of the Cause, A.Q. Faizi, used to say that in past Dispensations, when broken branches of the Tree of the Cause were planted, they grew and thrived; but in this Cause, such

Lights of ‘Irfán Book Eight 303

broken branches, even if planted with care, are destined not to grow, but to wither away, leaving the Tree of the Cause, a single, matchless, and impregnable Entity. Thus we must be certain that Covenant-breaking cannot and will not create a breach or schism in the Cause.

Why do we shun Covenant-breakers?

As to why we should shun Covenant-breakers ‘Abdu’l-Bahá gives the example of contagious diseases such as leprosy and consumption. It is as simple as a scientific fact. Shoghi Effendi has given this matter further clarification. Shoghi Effendi described such crises as “blessings in disguise” and says “when viewed in their proper perspective, each of them is an agency for the purification and revitalization of the life of the community” and “is a compelling evidence of the indestructibility of its cohesive strength.” (GPB 61)

‘Abdu’l-Bahá describes Covenant-breakers as “mischief-makers” who “seek leadership” (SWAB 214) and “promote discord.” (WT 9) Other motives mentioned by ‘Abdu’l-Bahá include “ambition,” “envy,” and “hate.” (SWAB 163) He advises us that such people are “sweet in words” and “appear as sheep” yet inwardly they are “ravaging wolves.” (SWAB 315) In His Will and Testament He warns us “no doubt every vain glorious one

that purposes dissension and discord will not openly declare his evil purposes. ” (WT 12) Shoghi Effendi amplifies this subject by adding other motives for Covenant-breaking. He describes such persons as “self-seeking adventurers” driven by such whims and inclinations as “unbounded presumption,” “abject perfidy,” “delusion,” “malice,” and, ironically enough, “incredible folly.” (TDH 65-66) “If a man cuts a cancer out of his body to preserve his health and very life, no one would suggest that for the sake of unity it would be reintroduced into the healthy organism. On the contrary, what was once a part of him has so radically changed as to have become a poison.” (LG 184) This does not mean that Covenant-breakers cannot be reinstated. Indeed we are told to pray for them, because such souls are not lost forever. They should be left, however, on their own. If they repent and the Head of the Faith is convinced that their repentance is sincere, they are joyously reinstated.

304

The Bahá'í Covenant

‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s Will and Shoghi Effendi’s Passing

The Kitáb-i-Aqdas (KA 42) does not seem to envisage that an appointed Branch, that is, the Guardian of the Cause, would co-exist with the Universal House of Justice. This would seem to contradict with the first part of the Will and Testament of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá. However, Shoghi Effendi has stated that the Master’s Will was a “supplement ” (WOB 19) to the Kitáb-i-Aqdas and therefore could not “contradict” (WOB 4) the Most Holy Book. When we study ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s Will and Testament, we see that it is in three parts. Part one provides for the Guardian’s participation in the Universal House of Justice as its member, and indeed, as its “sacred Head.” Part two, however, envisages a divinely guided House of Justice without the presence and participation of the Guardian. Part three is relatively brief and does not deal with this issue.

The question before us is this: How can the Will of the Master be a “supplement” to, and in fact, “confirm” (WOB 19) the Most Holy Book, and yet in of its parts appear to contradict the Kitáb-i-Aqdas? This is both an obscure matter and a mystery. This may well be why Shoghi Effendi kept telling the friends in the East and the West, in several letters, that the Will of the Master contained mysteries which they presently could not understand. In His Will and Testament, the Master has added that “obscure” (WT 20) matters in the Cause will arise, and it devolves upon the Universal House of Justice to elucidate them. In confirmation of this clause in ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s Will, Shoghi Effendi wrote in 1924: “We must trust to time and the guidance of the God’s Universal House of Justice to obtain a clearer and fuller understanding of its [‘Abdu’l-

Bahá's will's] provisions and implications.” (BA 62) The manner in which the events unfolded in 1957 onwards, left certain facts on the ground, which created a situation that was clearly in full harmony with the text of the Kitáb-i-Aqdas. As Shoghi Effendi had not appointed a successor, as Guardian and Authorized Interpreter, there was automatically no co-existence between any Appointed Branch and the Universal House of Justice. After its election in 1963, the Universal House of Justice gradually lifted the veil on some of the mysteries. In three letters available to the friends in the compilation entitled Lights of ‘Irfán Book Eight

305

Messages from the Universal House of Justice 1963-1986 (Letters numbers 5, 23, and 35), the Supreme Institution gave its elucidations of this “obscure question.” (WT 20)

These three letters clearly demonstrate that the provisions of the Kitáb-i-Aqdas are supreme, and obviously will remain inviolable and immutable, that the Universal House of Justice is independently and divinely guided by the Twin Manifestations of this Revelation, and that the beloved Guardian had even anticipated that the Universal House of Justice be formed in 1963. Three secondary questions arise which we need to address. They are:

1. Why did Shoghi Effendi refer to future Guardians?
 2. Was he conscious of his imminent death and aware that he would not live to see the formation of the Universal House of Justice?
 3. Why did the Guardian not write a Will and Testament?
- Fu t u r e G u a r d i a n s ?

Regarding the first question and the reference of Shoghi Effendi to future Guardians, we must remember that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá had incorporated this possibility in the first part of His Will. During Shoghi Effendi’s lifetime, there were living Aghsans who could have been chosen by him, if, as stipulated in the Will, he had decided that they were qualified for such a high office. And even then, his appointment had to be ratified by nine hands elected from the Body of the Hands of the Cause worldwide. Only the Guardian was authorized to set this process in motion. We could well conclude that by referring to future Guardians, he was doing so in the context of the first part of the Master’s Will.

Shoghi Effendi has given us a key to the resolution of this quandary. He wrote that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá was following the same pattern adopted by Bahá’u’lláh in His own Kitáb-i-’Ahd. He indicated that Bahá’u’lláh and ‘Abdu’l-Bahá had used an “identity of method” in the manner of succession. (WOB 4) Bahá’u’lláh certainly knew that Muḥammad-`Alí would be

Pearl (pp. 11-12), tells us of an experience which a German woman doctor had one day in the presence of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá. Her name was Dr. Fallscheer who was serving as a medical doctor in the Master’s household and was present when a young teenager entered the room and approached ‘Abdu’l-Bahá very reverently. After the Master told him something quietly, the teenager backed out with the same degree of respect and reverence. ‘Abdu’l-Bahá then told Dr. Fallscheer that this young boy was His Elisha. He then added that Bahá’u’lláh had told Him that in the future He [‘Abdu’l-Bahá] would have to appoint one of His own sons or grandsons to succeed Him. Yet Bahá’u’lláh ostensibly appointed Mu‘ammad-`Alí, in His Will, as the one to succeed ‘Abdu’l-Bahá. Is this not a mystery? Was this designed by Bahá’u’lláh to protect ‘Abdu’l-Bahá? Could He have done this to test the believers and at the same time to put Mu‘ammad-`Alí to test?

Shoghi Effendi has clearly stated in his “Dispensation” that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá possessed “superhuman knowledge and perfection.” (WOB 134) We must be sure, therefore, that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá must have known that the two brothers and five male cousins of Shoghi Effendi, who were all Aghsans, would not be faithful to Shoghi Effendi. Could He have included this option in HIS Will possibly to keep these potential appointees within the pale of the Faith? Did He have other purposes, as Bahá’u’lláh might have? As we know, these seven Aghsans disobeyed Shoghi Effendi through their own pride and folly and disqualified themselves, by dropping out of the Faith just as Mu‘ammad-`Alí had done before.

How t h e t e x t s o n s u c c e s s i o n p r o v e t o b e a m a j o r t e s t ?

In the Kitáb-i-Iqán Bahá’u’lláh states: “From time immemorial, even until eternity, the All-Mighty hath tried, and will continue to try, His Servants, so that light may be distinguished from darkness, truth from falsehood, right from wrong, guidance from error, happiness from misery, and roses from thorns.” (KI 8) In the same book Bahá’u’lláh says that such tests appear in “every season” (KI 53) or stage in the evolution of each Dispensation. It is reasonable therefore to assume that there are undoubtedly mysteries deposited in God’s Grand Scheme of World Order. We might also draw a conclusion as Lights of ‘Irfán Book Eight 307

stated earlier that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá had included the possibility of future Guardians, in order to encourage His grandsons to remain loyal and not break the Covenant.

wisdom and a sign of his infallible guidance?”

This statement clearly implies that not leaving a will in a traditional way was a conscious act on the part of Shoghi Effendi. As indicated above, Shoghi Effendi gave advice to Rúhíyyih Khánúm regarding her activities after his passing. Is it conceivable that he who was the Guardian and Protector of the Cause of God would think about the future of his wife, but not consider the security of the Faith after his own passing?

The Hand of the Cause Tarázu'lláh Samandari, after the passing of the Guardian, used to say that if Shoghi Effendi was not sure about the security of the Cause of God after his death, he would not have passed away at that time. How very perceptive was this Hand of the Cause? Indeed Shoghi Effendi had written that His “Dispensation” was to be considered as a “supplement” (LDG 65) to ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s Will and Testament. He had actually told several Hands of the Cause and pilgrims that his “Dispensation” should be considered by the friends as his Will and testament. Furthermore, in his “Dispensation” he has written that the Will and Testament of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá was a “link” (WOB 143-144) joining the Heroic Age to the Formative Age and similarly the link that will connect the Formative Age to the Golden Age. In this light we can understand why Shoghi Effendi described the Will and Testament of the Master as the “Charter of a future world civilization.” (GPB 328)

Our minds should be assured that the Blessed Beauty is watching over the fortunes of His precious Faith, and that the Supreme Body that He has ordained for us is under His loving care and protection. We are living in a day which, because of the power of the Covenant, is a Day “which shall not be followed by night.” (GPB 245)

— The Baha'i Covenant (Used by permission of the curator)